#### Simulation Working Group Summary

- Generated complete list of all codes used at workshop.
- Began sorting codes by fidelity, roughly correlated to time/ length scales achievable, critical when discussing priorities vs. effort to implement.
- Significant progress on generating a suite of possible benchmarks for code-to-code comparisons, each testing a particular physics package (i.e., diffusion, Shocks, B-field, neutron TOF.) Why? Good way to benchmark new physics put into a particular code in an accurate fashion.
- Idea of "unit tests" or "experimental benchmark experiment database born.
- List, then rank, the "top 10 places that kinetic effects show up." Then rank by some combination of importance vs. difficulty.

## Test Problems Identified to test various "beyond simple fluid" simulation capabilities.

- George Zimmerman H/He slab with initial grad(T). Run with hydro on, but still keep e-I coupling off. Run with 2 & 4 species..
- Nels Hoffman H/Ne shock tube. Do multi-fluid & particle codes get rid of the dip in Ne behind shock?
- Claudio Bellei mix phenomenon due to chock advection across interface
- Luis Chacon Guderley spherical shock reflection. Both in and out of fluid regime. Tests ability of "high fidelity" codes to do fluids and fluid codes to include viscosity. Maybe a multi-species version.
- Jeff Greenough Richtmyer-Meshkov shock turbulence modified to include effects of species diffusion and viscosity
- Drew Higginson neutron TOF tests
- George Zimmerman Guy Schurtz nonlocal electron transport linear test problem (We did not talk about this, but we cannot just ignore electrons)
- Eric Vold Hoffman's H/Ne shock tube, but using electron energy source instead of piston. Where does the excess Ne end up if not at the piston?
- Scott Wilks D/Ar interface diffusion test. Maybe with other nuclides to change Z/A & maybe with N>2 species.

I think we want the volunteer to provide results along with the test description. It assures that they have thought through everything & gives others a check on whether they are running the correct problem. It does run the risk that others could modify their results until they agree, but I doubt if that is an issue.

## First cut at aligning kinetic effect with proposed experiements, with proposed simulation test problems

Rank	Phenomenon/Experiment	Will affect	Impact	Difficulty to address	Simulation Test Case
1	Knudsen Layer (Petrasso) (Chacon/Taitano/Cohen)	Burn	High	Hard	Knudsen Layer
2	Multi-species Shock separation (Ping)	Entropy in hot spot	Unknow n	Medium (new p/n/diagnostic)	Mu-Sp Shock Tube Problem
3	Ablator-Wall interpenetration (Divol-LePape)	Symmetry	High	Medium	Interpenetration
4	Ion-ion equilibration in hot spot (Frenje)	Burn	Medium	Easy	Mult-Sp Shk Tube
5	Shock heating (Hans: vary Z/A)	Entropy of fuel	High	Easy	Mu-Sp Shock Tube
6	Magnetic fields around filaments (C. Li)	Transport	Medium	High	B-field Problem
7	Diffusion/Plasma Mix (Fernandez)	Transport/Burn	Medium		Diffusion
8	Shock heating (Hans: vary Z/A)	Fuel Entropy/ Burn	Medium	Easy	Mu-Sp Shock Tube
9	Hot electron anisotropy (Afeyan)	Symmetry	High	Medium	?
10	Knudsen/Wetted Foams (Olsen)	Burn	High	Medium	Knudsen layer

# List of codes (and contact) used in simulation results presented at workshop.

- "Classic" Fluid
  - RIK (Reduced Ion Kinetic) & ZPKZ (Zimmerman, Paquette, Kagan, Zhdanov) Fluid code (George, Darwin, Nels)
  - HYDRA (Marty)
  - Ares (Greenough)
  - Miranda (Bill)
  - DUED (Stefano)
  - Fluid (Claudio)
  - Lilac and Draco (LLE)
  - Rage and xRage(LANL)
- Vlasov Fokker-Planck
  - FPion, FUSE (Olivier)
  - iFP (Luis, Will)
  - Oshun (Archis)
- Particle-In-Cell (PIC)
  - PSC (Andreas)
  - VPIC (Fernandez)
  - OSIRIS (Warren)
- PIC + Particle fluid (Hybrid)
  - LSP (Carsten, Le)
  - ePlas (Rod)
  - Icepic (Bruce)
- MOD-MD (Michael)

### Spectrum of Fidelity



